# Multiplication of Univariate Polynomials Over Algebraic Number Fields . $\ddagger$. ©ecm <br> $\qquad$ 

## Motivation

Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be dense polynomials in $K[x]$ where $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$ is an algebraic number field and each $\alpha_{i} \notin \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$.
How can we compute $h(x)=f(x) \cdot g(x)$ efficiently?
Overview of Strategy

Initialize $k$ to 1 .


In this poster we will only be concerned with the algorithms performed within the blue box above.

Representing $f \in K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)[x]$
Fact. $K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right) \cong K\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right] /\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\rangle$, where $m_{i}:=m_{i}\left(u_{i}\right)$ is the minimal polynomial for $\alpha_{i}$ over $K$ for each $i=1, \ldots, t$.

So we consider $f$ and $g$ as $(t+1)$-variate polynomials in $K\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right][x] /\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\rangle$. We also need to choose a data structure to represent the polynomials. We will use a recursive dense data structure (recden in Maple).

## Example.

$f(x, y)=13-4 y^{2} z+8 x^{2} y \in \mathbb{Z}_{7}[z][x, y] /\left\langle z^{2}+5\right\rangle$ with $x>_{\text {lex }} y>_{\text {lex }} z$


## Naïve Multiplication Strategy

- Convert $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)[x]$ to recden polynomials
$F, G \in \mathbb{Q}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right][x] /\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\rangle$ and multiply $F$ and $G$ "naively"
Let $d=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)\right.$, and let $\operatorname{deg}_{x}(f), \operatorname{deg}_{x}(g) \leq n$.
This takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} d^{2}\right)$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{Q}$. Slow!
There are efficiency problems associated with this strategy.


## Problem 1:

More variables in polynomial = more complicated recden data structure
Example. $f:=a+b+c+d+e \in \mathbb{Z}_{7}[a, b, c, d, e]$ in recden data structure is: [[[[[0, 1], [1]], [[1]]], [[[1]]]], [[[[1]]]]].

Solution: Map $K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$ to $K(\gamma)$
How to find $\gamma$ ? Let $\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ be the conjugates of $\alpha\left(=\alpha_{1}\right)$ and let $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be the conjugates of $\beta\left(=\beta_{1}\right)$

$$
\text { Let } S=\left\{\frac{\alpha_{r}-\alpha_{s}}{\beta_{t}-\beta_{u}}: r, s \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, t, u \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, t \neq u\right\} .
$$

Pick $c \in K \backslash S$. Then $K(\alpha, \beta) \cong K(\gamma:=\alpha+c \beta)$.
We can generalize this to express the $(\mathrm{t}+1)$-variate polynomial $f$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right][x] /\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\rangle$ as a bivariate polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[z][x] /\left\langle m_{\gamma}(z)\right\rangle$.
$\Rightarrow$ simpler recden data structure!
In fact, $f$ has the form:
$\left(\operatorname{deg}_{x}(f)+1\right)$ lists
$[\square, \square, \ldots, \square],[\square, \square, \cdots, \square], \cdots,[\square, \square, \cdots, \square]]$
$\leq d$ elements $\leq d$ elements $\leq d$ elements

## Problem 2: The multiplication is too slow: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} d^{2}\right)$

Solution: Use the fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to multiply the two bivariate polynomials: $\mathcal{O}\left(n d^{2}+d n \log _{2} n\right)$

## A Faster Multiplication Strategy

1. Choose $p$, a prime.
2. Convert $f_{p}, g_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)[x]$ to $f_{\gamma}, g_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\gamma)[x]$. $\longleftarrow \mathcal{O}\left(d^{3}+n d^{2}\right)$
3. Multiply $f_{\gamma}$ and $g_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[z][x] /\left\langle m_{\gamma}(z)\right\rangle$ using the FFT. $\longleftarrow \mathcal{O}\left(n d^{2}+d n \log _{2} n\right)$ 4. Convert the product to a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)[x]$. $\longleftarrow \mathcal{O}\left(n d^{2}\right)$

Thus the overall cost of this strategy is $\mathcal{O}\left(d^{3}+n d^{2}+d n \log _{2} n\right)$. This is a considerable improvement over the naive strategy, especially for large $n$.

We must find $\left\{c_{2}, \ldots, c_{t}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ so that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+\cdots+c_{t} \alpha_{t}\right)$.
Theorem. If we randomly choose a set of numbers $\chi:=\left\{c_{2}, \ldots, c_{t}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$
then the probability of choosing the "unlucky" $\chi$ such that
$\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right) \not \not \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\gamma=\alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+\cdots+c_{t} \alpha_{t}\right)$ is less than $\frac{t \boldsymbol{t}^{2}}{p}$.
We use large $p$, so $\frac{t d^{2}}{p}$ will be small. As such, we will pick $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ at random.
Lemma. Let $\gamma=\alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}+\cdots+c_{t} \alpha_{t}$ be such that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}(\gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$. Then

$$
B_{1}:=\left\{1, \gamma, \gamma^{2}, \ldots, \gamma^{d-1}\right\} \text { is a basis for } \mathbb{Z}_{p}(\gamma) \text { and }
$$

$B_{2}:=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{j_{1}} \alpha_{2}^{j_{2}} \cdots \alpha_{t}^{j_{t}}, j_{i}=0,1, \ldots, d_{i}-1\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$
Thus we will build a $d \times d$ matrix $C$ so that $C$ is a change-of-basis matrix from $B_{1}$ to $B_{2}$ and $C^{-1}$ is a change-of-basis matrix from $B_{2}$ to $B_{1}$.

## Choosing the "Right" Prime

## We choose our prime $p$ such that

- $C$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$
- $p$ is between $2^{30}$ and $2^{31.5}$, so that all numbers arising from our algorithm can be mltiplied on a 64 -bit machine without overflow.
- $p$ is a Fourier prime (i.e. prime of form $k \cdot 2^{r}+1, k$ odd and $r \geq R$, where $2^{R}$ is the smallest power of two greater than $\left.\operatorname{deg}_{x}(f)+\operatorname{deg}_{x}(g)\right)$

Lemma. Of all Fourier primes between $2^{30}$ and $2^{31.5}$ for a given $N=2^{R}>\operatorname{deg}_{x}(f)+$ $\operatorname{deg}_{x}(g)$, the probability that a Fourier prime divides $\operatorname{det}(C)$ is at most

$$
\frac{(d / 2+R \cdot d) 2^{R}}{8.7459 \times 10^{8}}
$$

Since $d, 2^{R} \ll 8.7459 \times 10^{8}$ we pick a random Fourier prime in $\left(2^{30}, 2^{31.5}\right)$ as our $p$.

## Benchmarks

Let $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)[x]$ where $n=\operatorname{deg}_{x}(f)=\operatorname{deg}_{x}(g)$, and the coefficients of $f$ and $g$ are chosen at random from $\mathbb{Z}_{p} . \alpha_{1}=\sqrt{111}, \alpha_{2}=\sqrt{131}$ and $\alpha_{3}=\sqrt{171}$.

|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)[x]$ |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{p}(\gamma)[x]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | naive mult | FFT mult. | conversion 1 | naive mult. | FFT mult. | conversion 2 |
| 12 | 0.146 | 0.074 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.003 |
| 24 | 0.541 | 0.51 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 0.005 |
| 48 | 2.096 | 0.344 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.010 |
| 96 | 8.207 | 0.770 | 0.045 | 0.128 | 0.123 | 0.019 |
| 192 | 32.533 | 1.704 | 0.096 | 0.471 | 0.293 | 0.039 |
| 384 | 129.620 | 3.767 | 0.252 | 1.908 | 0.693 | 0.078 |

Here $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ be algebraic numbers of degree 4 each.

|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)[x]$ |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{p}(\gamma)[x]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | naive mult. | FT mult. | conversion 1 | naive mult. | FFT mult. | conversion 2 |
| 12 | 1.408 | 0.562 | 0.390 | 0.058 | 0.028 | 0.083 |
| 24 | 4.987 | 1.191 | 0.505 | 0.207 | 0.067 | 0.224 |
| 48 | 18.262 | 2.506 | 0.881 | 0.782 | 0.151 | 0.386 |
| 96 | 7.710 | 5.279 | 1.626 | 3.119 | 0.367 | 0.778 |
| 192 | 280.522 | 11.258 | 4.037 | 12.350 | 0.836 | 1.566 |

